



B  
H

Docket No.: K-0161

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of : Confirm. No. 9628  
Sang Rim SHIN :  
Serial No. 09/520,363 : Examiner: David R. Vincent  
Filed: March 7, 2000 : Customer No.: 34610

For: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPERATING  
MULTICAST SERVICE IN COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

**COMMENTS IN REPLY TO EXAMINER'S  
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
220 20<sup>th</sup> Street S  
Customer Window, **Mail Stop Issue Fee**  
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03  
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowability dated October 21, 2004, Applicants respectfully submit the following Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Applicants thank Examiner David R. Vincent for allowing claims 43-80 in the above-identified patent application. The Examiner's reasons for allowance indicates that claims 43-80 are allowable "since when reading the claims in light of the specification..." It is respectfully submitted that the written description, however, is not a substitute for, nor can it be used to rewrite, the chosen claim language. "Specifications teach. Claims claim." SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am., 775 F.2d 1107, 1121 n.14 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (en banc). Though understanding the claim language may be aided by the explanations contained in the written description, it is important not to import into a claim limitations that are not a part of the claim. For example, a

Noted  
2/5/05